

Vocational Competence and Industry Currency

The VET sector has long required its practitioners to be dual professionals, specifically as trainers and assessors as well as industry specialists. This requirement has been consistently supported by the sector's governing bodies and is intended to ensure that the 'right person' provides quality training and assessment to the learner. This Fact Sheet considers these two areas and should be read in conjunction with the [Industry Engagement Fact Sheet](#).

Background

Currently the competencies to be held by trainers and assessors are specified in Clauses 1.13, 1.14 and 1.15 and Schedule 1 of the *Standards for Registered Training Organisations (RTOs) 2015*. Clause 1.14 is the subject of a separate Fact Sheet, [Trainer and Assessor Requirements](#). Reference to these requirements is also made in Clause 1.18.

These Clauses state that:

Training and assessment is delivered by trainers and assessors who have:

- vocational competencies at least to the level being delivered and assessed;
- current industry skills directly relevant to the training and assessment being provided; and
- current knowledge and skills in vocational training and learning that informs their training and assessment.

The majority of non-compliance and questions regarding trainer and assessor requirements are around vocational competence and industry currency, particularly how to demonstrate vocational equivalence. This fact sheet aims to provide guidance on what these terms mean, the range of approaches that could be used within RTOs and what criteria the auditors use when they consider the approach taken by your RTO.

Clause 1.13a – What is vocational competence?

Within the VET sector vocational competency in a particular industry consists of broad industry knowledge and experience, usually combined with a relevant industry qualification. A person who has vocational competency will be familiar with the content of the vocation and will have relevant and current experience in the industry. Vocational competencies must be considered on an industry-by-industry basis and with reference to the guidance provided in

the assessment guidelines of the relevant training package. (*NCVER VET Glossary* March 2013).

In most situations trainers and assessors will hold the qualifications and/or units of competency that they deliver or assess, but where this is not the case, equivalence needs to be demonstrated. When you say someone holds equivalent vocational competencies you are assuring that they have all the knowledge, skill and depth of experience as if they held the actual unit of competence.

Evidence used to demonstrate equivalence of vocational competency may include relevant past training (including superseded and pre-existing industry qualifications), experience and professional development. Equivalence is most commonly demonstrated by an individual through mapping this evidence against the specific requirements of the unit of competency, which is then verified by the RTO. This process may or may not identify gaps that need to be addressed.

The determination of equivalence is the responsibility of the employing RTO and is subject to audit. Equivalence only holds value within the organisation that has granted it, it is not transferable if a trainer / assessor then works with a different RTO.

Why do I need to take a unit-by-unit approach?

Many practitioners question why equivalence needs to be demonstrated at unit level rather than qualification level. The structure of most training packages encourages flexibility, and as a result a qualification can be made up of a wide range of units. People can hold the same qualification, but in reality have a completely different set of knowledge and skills.

Here is an example of a highly flexible qualification:



CASE STUDY:

BSB51915 DIPLOMA OF LEADERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT

- The Diploma of Leadership and Management has 8 elective units.
- Four elective units must be selected from the Group A units. There are 16 Group A units to choose from.
- Up to four elective units may be selected from Group A units or Group B units. There are 17 Group B units to choose from.
- Up to two units may be selected from a Diploma or above in the Business Services Training Package.
- One elective unit may be selected from any currently endorsed Training Package or Accredited Course at Diploma level. There are thousands of units available in other Training Packages.

This level of flexibility means that there are thousands of possible combinations of units that could be chosen for a Diploma of Leadership and Management qualification.

This example illustrates clearly that in order to ensure that the RTO has appropriately qualified training and assessment staff, vocational competence and equivalence must be determined at unit level.

Is the demonstration of equivalence the same as Recognition of Prior Learning (RPL)?

Demonstration of equivalence is not the same as RPL.

RPL

- RPL is defined as “an assessment process that involves assessment of an individual’s relevant prior learning (including formal, informal and non-formal learning) to determine the credit outcomes of an individual application for credit (National Quality Council Training Packages glossary)” (*Australian Qualification Framework Second Edition January 2013*)

Equivalence

- Demonstration of equivalence, on the other hand, is not a formal assessment process and therefore cannot be used as the basis for the award of a qualification or statement of attainment. As no certification is awarded, this judgement of equivalence can be made by any RTO.

Deeming equivalence

It is expected that RTOs making judgements about vocational equivalence will have a documented process for arriving at those judgements that is validated and moderated. Judgements should be made in accordance with the rules of evidence and should be able to be upheld through peer or independent review.

Rules of Evidence

Evidence used to demonstrate equivalence should be:



Current

- the evidence verifies that the trainer/assessor can currently perform to the industry benchmark, which are the competencies stipulated in the Training Package.



Valid

- the evidence directly relates to the specific requirements of competencies in the Training Package.



Sufficient

- the evidence is collected from a number of occasions, over a period of time and in a range of contexts sufficient to be confident that the evidence is representative of ability, retention and transfer.



Authentic

- the evidence is about the trainer/assessor and not someone else.

What kind of evidence could I use to demonstrate equivalence of competency?

As stated earlier, evidence used to demonstrate equivalence of vocational competency may include relevant past training, including superseded and pre-existing industry qualifications, experience, and professional development. Equivalency is most commonly demonstrated by an individual through mapping past training, experience and ongoing professional development against the specific detailed requirements in the **unit of competency**. This process may or may not identify gaps that require to be addressed.

This is not the only way of demonstrating the process that has been used by the RTO. Equivalence might be included as a part of the recruitment process and the judgement represented in the evidence obtained from that paperwork.

While an RTO will consider equivalence on a unit by unit basis, this does not necessarily mandate that the evidence would be represented in the RTO's records in that way. For example there may be clusters of units in a qualification that reflect a complete workplace task, and may therefore be represented together.



CASE STUDY:

CHC30113 - CERTIFICATE III IN EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION AND CARE

This qualification has 15 core units and three electives. The 15 core units are listed below:

- CHCDIV002 Promote Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander cultural safety
- CHCECE001 Develop cultural competence
- CHCECE002 Ensure the health and safety of children
- CHCECE003 Provide care for children
- CHCECE004 Promote and provide healthy food and drinks
- CHCECE005 Provide care for babies and toddlers
- CHCECE007 Develop positive and respectful relationships with children
- CHCECE009 Use an approved learning framework to guide practice
- CHCECE010 Support the holistic development of children in early childhood
- CHCECE011 Provide experiences to support children's play and learning
- CHCECE013 Use information about children to inform practice
- CHCLEG001 Work legally and ethically
- CHCPRT001 Identify and respond to children and young people at risk
- HLTAID004 Provide an emergency first aid response in an education and care setting
- HLTWHS001 Participate in workplace health and safety

There are natural clusters of these units as they relate directly to whole work tasks that would be experienced on a daily basis in all care situations. For example, the units could be clustered as follows:

Cluster 1 - providing developmentally appropriate experiences:

- CHCECE009 Use an approved learning framework to guide practice
- CHCECE010 Support the holistic development of children in early childhood
- CHCECE011 Provide experiences to support children's play and learning

Cluster 2 - providing day to day care:

- CHCECE002 Ensure the health and safety of children
- CHCECE003 Provide care for children
- CHCECE004 Promote and provide healthy food and drinks

The first cluster of units has a significant focus on knowledge of childhood development, development benchmarks and the types of activities that will promote physical, cognitive, emotional and social wellbeing. The second cluster is more practically focused and reflective of the day to day activities of a carer.

It would be logical to provide evidence of vocational equivalence against these clusters rather than against the individual units because together they reflect whole work tasks, and have very similar requirements for knowledge and skills to be demonstrated in similar contexts.

What are auditors looking for?

Irrespective of the approach chosen by the RTO, auditors are looking for evidence that the RTO has systems in place to:

1. Establish equivalent competencies if required;
2. Demonstrate a clear and verified relationship between the trainers' and assessors' formal and/or informal training and experience and each of the units/modules they are delivering and/or assessing;
3. Competence is verified by the RTO – this could be achieved by having the verification carried out by a vocationally competent person within the RTO or by carrying out referee checks confirming relevant and current industry experience and vocational competencies;
4. Collect evidence of the above activities having been completed.

Clause 1.13b - Current industry skills

'Current industry skills' means that the trainer/assessor has a thorough understanding of how the unit of competency to be delivered and/or assessed actually works within the workplace to current workplace standards.

The trainer and assessor must understand how the competency connects with the other competencies of the learner to produce industry outcomes, how the competency of the learner relates to the competencies of co-workers to be an effective part of the workplace team, and how the competency relates to and meets the needs of clients in the workplace. This needs to be a broad understanding across many different applications of the competency in many different workplaces, not just based on the personal experience of the trainer/assessor, and needs to be kept up to date through continuing engagement with industry. Having current industry skills means that trainers will be able to enrich the delivery of the unit of competency with the 'real feel' of current industry practices, and be able to set the workplace context of assessments so that reliable inferences can be made about workplace readiness.

The publication ([*Keeping it real, Industry Currency of Trainers in Queensland*](#), DET 2010 pg. 8) outlines many benefits for the RTO in maintaining the industry currency of its training and assessors:

- the delivery of training that is relevant, based on real world situations and more tailored to industry needs;
- improved confidence and an up-to-date and best practice image of trainers for students, industry and peers;
- improved reputation of the RTO amongst employers;
- enhanced industry confidence and goodwill - through being 'seen' in industry; and
- improved industry ownership, valuing and understanding of training.

Despite the importance and increasing focus on industry currency, there is very little formal guidance available to assist RTOs in establishing an approach that will work for them. Some training packages provide advice about what currency means in that industry, for example, the CPC08 Construction, Plumbing and Services Training Package states that;

“assessors delivering qualifications from this CPC08 Construction, Plumbing and Services Training Package should have the following minimum competency, recognition and industry experience, that is, workplace experience within the last two years in the competency area being delivered.”

This statement provides very clear guidelines about what is considered current, by that industry. Most training packages however are silent on the issue of currency, so currency decisions are to be made by the individual RTO in consultation with industry.

How do you determine the currency period for your scope?

This seems like a simple question, but the vastly different nature of various industries means that it is in fact quite a complex question. There are a number of factors that influence currency periods which include, for example:

- technological innovation;
- changing legislation and regulatory requirements;
- changes to industry practice;
- new and emerging skills and specialisations as work practices change; and
- technical skill degradation through periods of non-use.

This means that each RTO has to consider the factors that are relevant to them, and in consultation with industry, to determine what an appropriate currency period is. There will not be one consistent currency period, it will depend on the factors that are relevant to what the individual trainer and assessor is required to deliver and assess.

What kind of activities could contribute to maintaining industry currency?

Just as there is no one currency period, there is no one specific activity or group of activities that represent the best way to maintain industry currency. The reality is that there are a range of activities that may work for the individual trainer/assessor and RTO. The activities that the individual uses need to be chosen to suit the kind of industry knowledge and skill they have to maintain.

The publication *Keeping it Real* provides the following list as some examples of activities trainers and assessors can use to maintain currency:

- industry placement;
- concurrent employment in industry and the RTO;
- industry and professional association membership;
- attending conferences, professional workshops and industry specific development programmes;
- attending professional development activities run by industry skills alliances;
- researching best and new practice and general research;
- subscribing to professional journals and publications;
- applying for sponsored corporate teaching awards and scholarships;
- networking with industry mentors, employers and other trainers;
- talking to students about practices and job roles in their workplaces;
- industry specialist visits, industry site visits and study tours;

FACT SHEET

- undertaking specific training courses in new equipment or skill sets;
- work shadowing; and
- fulfilling industry licensing or regulatory requirements.

The challenge for RTOs is determining what combination of activities will work, and once again, depending upon your industry the approaches will need to differ. Consider the following examples:

Approach	Can be effective when	Can be ineffective when
Membership of professional associations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The membership requires you to demonstrate that you have maintained or continued to develop your professional expertise • You are seeking to update your knowledge in a field and the association has a range of activities, that you participate in, that enable that 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The membership does not require you to demonstrate that you have maintained or continued to develop your professional expertise • You do not participate in activities • The activities are not closely related to the units of competency that you deliver and assess against
Work Shadowing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The shadowing experience allows you to participate in the work activities • You have the opportunity to practice your skills • You have the opportunity to refresh your knowledge by interacting with current practitioners 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The nature of the work prevents you from participating in the work (Confidentiality and safety issues) • The nature of the work is not closely related to the units of competency you deliver and assess against
Fulfilling industry licensing requirements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The licensing outcome requires you to demonstrate that you have maintained a specified level of knowledge and skill • The specified level of knowledge and skill closely relates to the units of competency you deliver and assess against 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is no requirement to demonstrate that you have maintained a specified level of knowledge or skill • The specified knowledge or skill is not closely related to the units of competency you deliver and assess against.
Talking to students about practices and job roles in their workplace	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • You are seeking to update your knowledge of current practice • The students workplace is reflective of current industry practice • The students workplace is reflective of new and emerging industry practice 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • You are seeking to maintain your technical skills • The students workplace is not reflective of current industry practice

The key idea is direct engagement with industry and the workplace to be immersed in the industrial context.

Do I need to take a unit by unit approach?

As with demonstrating equivalence, consideration of currency needs to be undertaken at a unit level or for a cluster of units that are related in the workplace. The RTO will need to consider what range of strategies will ensure that the trainers and assessors are current across the range of units that they deliver and assess against.

Does there need to be a consistent approach within the RTO?

In terms of the activities that are undertaken by the trainers and assessors, **no** – this would be counterintuitive to all that has been discussed above, but there are some more general principles that research has shown promote the effectiveness of currency activities.

What are the auditors looking for?

The demonstration of current industry skills does not require the detailed analysis of the inner components of the unit of competency that vocational competency requires. Returning to the meaning of industry currency, what needs to be shown is that the trainer/assessor has been out in industry observing and participating in current industry practices relevant to the unit(s) being delivered.

This engagement with industry needs to be broad (many different kinds of workplaces) and deep (actual observation and participation in practices) and mostly first hand. Inevitably this exposure will be reasonably local or regional so the trainer/assessor should seek wider industry exposure through texts, conferences, industry associations, and consultation with other trainers/assessors. All this needs to be documented, but most importantly the learnings from these exposures need to be incorporated into the training delivery and assessment resources. The trainer/assessor needs to be able to describe their experiences within industry and how these have impacted on their work, and be prepared to share these observations with colleagues so that the VET sector never loses contact and relevance with the industries it serves.

Regardless of the approach chosen by the RTO, auditors are looking to assure that the RTO has established the following:

